Document 32683-4 PageID: 185305

Exhibit C

```
Page 1
 1
                   SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
                   LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY
                   DOCKET NO. MID-2912-17AS
 2.
                   APPELLATE DOCKET NO._____
 3
 4
     RICARDO RIMONDI AND PILAR RIMONDI,
 5
                                            )
                        Plaintiffs,
 6
                                            ) TRANSCRIPT
        v.
                                            ) OF
 7
                                            ) TRIAL
     BASF CATALYSTS LLC, et al.,
 8
                        Defendants.
 9
10
11
                   Place: Middlesex County Courthouse
                           56 Paterson Street
                           New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903
12
                          Tuesday, March 5, 2019
13
                   Date:
                          (Volume 1 of 2)
14
                          (Pages 1 - 200)
15
     BEFORE:
16
        HON. ANA C. VISCOMI, J.S.C. and JURY
17
18
     TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:
19
        ALLISON BROWN, ESQ.
        WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
2.0
2.1
22
                        ANDREA F. NOCKS, CCR, CRR
                        PRIORITY ONE
23
                        290 West Mount Pleasant Avenue
                        Livingston, New Jersey 07039
24
                        (718) 983-1234
                        E-mail: plsteno@veritext.com
     Job No. NJ3249822
25
```

Page 140 But now, for example, you've done some PLM 1 work without concentration on Johnson & Johnson 2. products, right? 3 That is correct. 4 5 So no bias in that against finding chrysotile, right? 6 7 Yes, sir. There is some. Α Okay. Because there may be a thin fiber? 8 0 9 Α Yes, sir. It's harder to see chrysotile by PLM at 10 these concentrations. But certainly you no longer have the issue of 11 12 heavy density separation, right? 13 Α That is correct. 14 And you still didn't find chrysotile, right? O 15 A No. We haven't seen it. 16 And with respect to TEM work, you said there 0 17 are some limitations for looking at, for chrysotile, with PLM; you could, if you wanted to, do TEM work 18 19 without concentration to see if there's any chrysotile 20 that you can find in any Johnson & Johnson products, 21 right? 2.2 Within the limitations of the detection limit, 23 that's correct. 24 And you have simply chosen not to do that Q analysis? 25

Page 141 1 That's correct. Not yet. 2 So to be clear, when we see documents, 3 plaintiffs have presented documents that chrysotile is in Johnson & Johnson, you, the expert, as the expert 4 5 coming to testify for them, have not done TEM work without concentration in order to check whether 6 7 chrysotile is really in this product, right? 8 That is correct. A 9 0 So let's now talk about amphiboles. And if 10 we go to slide 7, I blocked those out a little bit. 11 So now I've separated out the amphibole types 12 from the -- chrysotile is a serpentine mineral, right? 13 Α That is correct. 14 And amphibole, the word amphibole does not 0 15 mean asbestos, correct? 16 Does not. 17 And you'll see here that for some of the 18 amphiboles, the amphibole asbestos types are listed on 19 the left. For some of the amphiboles there are special 20 names when the amphibole occurs in its asbestos-form, 21 correct? 2.2 Α Correct. So like riebeckite is the non-asbestos 23 0 version of crocidolite, just as an example? 24 That is correct. 25 Α

Page 200 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, ANDREA F. NOCKS, C.S.R., License Number 30XI00157300, an Certified Court Reporter in and for the 4 State of New Jersey, do hereby certify the foregoing to 5 be prepared in full compliance with the current 6 7 Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and is a true and accurate non-compressed transcript to the 8 Best of my knowledge and ability. 9 10 andrea Nodes CCR CRR 11 12 ANDREA F. NOCKS March 5, 2019 13 CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER DATE 14 MIDDLESEX COUNTY COURTHOUSE 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 25